



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,889 05/14/2001		Josh Hogan	10960373-7	2152
7590 11/04/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/855,889	HOGAN, JOSH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kambiz Zand	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	igust 2005.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
cee the attached detailed embe deticn for a list of the defining depice not reserved.						
	At .	Ind				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

 The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.

- The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claim 4 has been amended.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are pending.
- Examiner withdraws objection to the drawings and specification due to correction by the applicant.
- 6. Examiner withdraws rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C 112-first paragraphs due to clarification by the applicant.
- 7. Examiner withdraws rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C 112-second paragraphs due to clarification by the applicant.
- Examiner withdraws rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C 101 due to correction by the applicant.
- 9. Examiner withdraws the double patenting rejections of claims 1-4 over patent numbers 6,278,386 and 5,828,754 due to clarification by the applicant.

However the double patenting rejection of claims 1, 3 and 4 over patent number 6,699,434 have been maintained.

Art Unit: 2132

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 08/23/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claim 1, 2 and 4.

*With respect to Applicant's arguments that "sako does not teach or suggest encoding data in the merge bits", examiner makes the following remarks:

Page 3, lines 43-56; page 4, lines 1-54 and page 5 where the encoding is the insertion of bits "100" or "010" or "100" and the patterns is from "100 or 010 or 001 and back to 100"; see data being encoded on the above pages that represent channel bits.

Double Patenting

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/855,889

Art Unit: 2132

12. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 of U.S. Patent Number 5,699,434. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim(s) 1, 3 and 4 of U.S. Patent Number 5,699,434 contain(s) every element of claim(s) 1, 3 and 4 of the instant application and as such anticipate(s) claim(s) 1, 3 and 4 of the instant application.

"A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Sako (EP 0 347 934 B1).

As per claim 1 Sako teach a method of transmitting decryption data, the method comprising the following steps:

- a) encoding a bit of decryption data into a pattern of merge bits (please see fig.3; page 3, lines 43-56; page 4, lines 1-54 and page 5 where the encoding is the insertion of bits "100" or "010" or "100" and the patterns is from "100 or 010 or 001 and back to 100");
- b) encoding channel bits having the pattern of merge bits of step (a) (see data being encoded on the above pages that represent channel bits); and
- (c) transmitting the channel bits resulting from step (b) (see page 5, lines 49-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to select data signal to represent channel bits because the data signal consist of data content that are encoded according to a pattern of merge bits. Also see the entire reference for detail.

Art Unit: 2132

As per claim 2 Sako teach the method of claim 1, further comprising using the decryption data for inhibiting copy of digital information. the method comprising the following additional steps:

- (d) decoding the channel bits from step (c);
- (e) decoding the pattern of merge bits in the channel bits of step (d) back into the bits of step (a) see; and
- (f) using the decoded bit of step (e) to modify the decoded channel bits of step (d). see page 4 and 5 in relation with page 8; page 9, lines 1-35 for reproduction/decoding procedures of the encoded bits).

As per claim 4 Sako teach method comprising:

selecting merge bits by an encoder to satisfy run-length-limited requirements, digitalsum-variance

requirements, and also to specify at least one bit of data (see page 5, lines 55-58; page 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to select data signal to represent channel bits because the data signal consist of data content that are encoded according to a pattern of merge bits.

Also see the entire reference for detail.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,889

Art Unit: 2132

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 3 is allowed if it overcomes the double patenting rejection rendered.

Conclusion

15.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as

Art Unit: 2132

(571) 272-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

11/03/2005

AU2132